arXiv:1406.4534v2 [math.GT] 11 Mar 2015

Conjugacy Limits of the Diagonal Cartan Subgroup in $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$

Arielle Leitner

March 12, 2015

Abstract

A *conjugacy limit group* is the limit of a sequence of conjugates of the positive diagonal Cartan subgroup, $C \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$. We prove a variant of a theorem of Haettel, and show that up to conjugacy, C has 5 possible conjugacy limit groups. Each conjugacy limit group is determined by a nonstandard triangle. We give a criterion for a sequence of conjugates of C to converge to each of the 5 conjugacy limit groups. MSC 57S25.

Key words: Geometric Transitions, Conjugacy Limit, Nonstandard Analysis.

1 Motivation and the Main Theorems

We study geometries in the sense of Klein: a (G, X) geometry is a Lie group G acting transitively on a manifold X. The idea of continuously deforming one kind of geometry into another appears in many areas of mathematics and physics. For the most basic intuition, we take the example given in [6], that a sequence of spheres tangent to a plane, with increasing radius, will limit to the tangent plane in the Hausdorff topology on closed sets. Another viewpoint mentioned in [6] is that of Lie algebras. Homogeneous spaces have groups of isometries that are Lie groups, which have an associated Lie algebra determined by the Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. This is a bilinear map, and is determined by the values it takes on a basis, and hence by *structure constants*. We may continuously change the structure constants as long as $[\cdot,\cdot]$ still determines a Lie algebra. This is related to theory of Inönü-Wigner contractions in physics, see [2] and [11].

Conjugacy limits of C are related to deformations of convex $\mathbb{R}P^2$ structures. Any discrete $\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of C gives a convex projective structure on the torus. Choi and Goldman give two examples of such convex projective structures in [5]. There are two kinds of deformations: deforming lattices in C, or deforming C inside $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$. This paper concerns the latter.

This paper classifies conjugacy limits of the positive diagonal Cartan subgroup $C \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$. Let G be a Lie group and H a closed subgroup. A sequence of subgroups H_n of G *converges* to H if the following two conditions are satisfied:
(a) For every $h \in H$ there is a sequence $h_n \in H_n$ converging to h
(b) For every sequence $h_n \in H_n$, if there is a subsequence which converges to h, then $h \in H$.
A subgroup $L \leq G$ is a *conjugacy limit* of a subgroup $H \leq G$ if there is a sequence of elements $P_n \in G$ such that $P_n H P_n^{-1}$ converges to L. (See [6] definition 2.5). The identity component of a conjugate of C is the stabilizer of the vertices of a triangle in $\mathbb{R}P^2$. We will show in theorem 2 that a conjugacy limit of C is characterized in terms of the stabilizer of a *configuration*, which is a set whose elements are points and lines in $\mathbb{R}P^2$.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 Email: aleitner@math.ucsb.edu

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{C, F, N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ be the set consisting of the following 5 subgroups of $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ with $a, b > 0$,

$$C \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & t & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_1 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s & t \\ 0 & 1 & s \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_3 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & s \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Γ be the directed graph shown below whose vertices are the elements of $\mathcal{Q}$.



Theorem 1. *1. Every subgroup of $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ is conjugate to exactly one element of $\mathcal{Q}$.*

2. If $G_1 \neq G_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ then G_2 is a conjugacy limit of G_1 if and only if there is a directed path in Γ from G_1 to G_2.

3. If G is a conjugacy limit of C, then G is a 1-parameter limit of C. Moreover every directed path in Γ is a 1-parameter path of conjugacies.

A *configuration* is a finite set T each element of which is a point or projective line in $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Define $\mathcal{T}Q = \{TC, TF, TN_1, TN_2, TN_3\}$ to be the set of 5 elements which are the configurations shown below.



Figure 1: The 5 configurations in $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Q}$

There is a partial order on $\mathcal{T}Q$ given by inclusion.

Theorem 2. *There is a bijection $\theta : \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}Q$ defined by $\theta(G) = T$ if and only if*

1. G preseverves each element of T

2. T is maximal (in the partial order) subject to this condition

The *hyperreals* ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ are an ordered field that contains $\mathbb{R}$. (See section 4.) A *nonstandard triangle* is a subset $\mathscr{T} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ consisting of three points $p, q, x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ in general position, and the three lines between p, q and x. Let $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{G}(p, q, x) \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$ be the conjugate of the positive diagonal subgroup which fixes p, q, and x . The *shadow* of $\mathscr{G}$ is the subgroup $sh(\mathscr{G}) \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of those matrices whose entries differ by an infinitesimal from some matrix in $\mathscr{G}$.

The next theorem determines exactly when a sequence $P_n \in SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ has the property $P_n C P_n^{-1}$ converges, and if it does, the conjugacy class of the limit under *any* sequence of matrices. See section 6 for the definition of α.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathscr{T}$ be a nonstandard triangle. Then $sh(\mathscr{G}(p,q,x))$ is conjugate to a group in $\mathcal{Q}$, determined by the following table, where α is a particular hyperreal that depends on $\mathscr{T}$.*

# infinitesimal angles	*# infinitesimal sides*	α	*nonstandard triangle*
0	0	*appreciable*	C
1	1	*finite*	F
2	3	*finite*	N_1
$*$	3	*infinitesimal*	N_2
2	$*$	*infinite*	N_3

This result is used to determine conjugacy limits of the positive diagonal group $C \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ as follows. The columns of a matrix in $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ determine a triangle in $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Given a sequence of conjugating matrices P_n we choose a subsequence so the corresponding triangles $P_n(TC)$ converge to a nonstandard triangle $\mathscr{T} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$. Theorem 3 determines the conjugacy class of the limit $P_nCP_n^{-1}$.

Most of the work in this paper follows Haettel, [8], which classifies the homogeneous space of diagonal Cartan subgroup of a group G with the Chabauty topology. Haettel determines the compactification of the set of all closed abelian subalgebras of dimension the real rank of $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and shows it is equal to the compactification of the space of limits of Cartan subalgebras for $n = 3, 4$. When $n = 3$, Haettel shows this space is a simply connected cell complex. The cells corresponds to the strata in the digraph Γ. The dimension of the cell decreases as the dimension of the normalizer of the group increases. To find limits of Lie algebras, Haettel works with sequences under the adjoint action. This paper follows his work, but from the perspective of Lie groups, and introduces the geometric notions of characteristic degenerate triangles, nonstandard triangles, and a maximal configuration preserved by a group.

Sections 2 and 3 prove theorems 2 and 1, respectively. The second part of the paper is dedicated to the hyperreal theorem 3. We first give some background on hyperreal numbers, and explain the lower dimensional case, $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. We build the theory of projective geometry over the hyperreals, to prove theorem 3 in proposition 23.

2 Degenerate Triangle Configurations: Proof of Theorem 2

This section proves theorem 2. We derive the 5 configurations in $\mathcal{TQ}$, and explain how each configuration in $\mathcal{TQ}$ determines a conjugacy limit group in $\mathcal{Q}$.

Recall a *configuration* is a set with elements which are points and lines in $\mathbb{R}P^2$. A configuration, T, is a *limit* of a configuration, S, if there is a sequence of projective transformations, P_n, such that for every $x \in T$, and n sufficiently large, then $x \in P_nS$. (See [6] definition 2.6). We write $P_nS \to T$. A *projective triangle* consists of three points and three line segments connected in the usual way. A *triangle configuration* in $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is the configuration of three lines in general position, and their three intersection points, obtained by extending the lines of a projective triangle in the natural way. A *degenerate triangle configuration* is a configuration that is a limit of a triangle configuration. It has at most three points and three lines. We say two degenerate triangle configurations are in the same equivalence class if they have the same number of points and lines.



Figure 2: degenerate triangle configurations

Since it is not possible to make a degenerate triangle configuration with 3 lines and 2 points, or 2 lines and 3 points, figure 2 shows a representative from every equivalence class of degenerate triangle configurations. Paths of projective transformations from TC to the degenerate triangle configurations in $\mathcal{TQ}$ are given in proposition 5.

Proposition 4. *Let C be the positive diagonal Cartan subgroup of $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$, and $S \subset \mathbb{R}P^2$, be the projective triangle configuration preserved by C. Let $P_t \in PSL_3(\mathbb{R})$ be a sequence of projective transformations, such that S converges to $S_\infty = \lim_{t\to\infty} P_t S$, a degenerate triangle configuration, and G has conjugacy limit $G_\infty = \lim_{t\to\infty} P_t G P_t^{-1}$. Then G_∞ preserves S_∞.*

Proof. Let $G_t := P_t C P_t^{-1}$, so that G_t preserves $S_t := P_t S$ for all t. Suppose for contradiction that G_∞ does not preserve S_∞. Then there is some $x \in S_\infty$, and $g \in G_\infty$ such that $gx \notin S_\infty$, or $d(gx, S_\infty) > 0$. Take a sequence $g_t \in G_t$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} g_t = g$. Pick a point $x_0 \in S$ so that $\lim_{t\to\infty} P_t x_0 = x$, so $P_t x_0 \in S_t$. But then $\lim_{t\to\infty} d(g_t(P_t x_0), S_t) = d(gx, S_\infty) > 0$, contrary to $d(g_t(P_t x_0), S_t) = 0$. □ □

The set of degenerate triangle configurations is partially ordered by inclusion. Given a group, $G \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$, a degenerate triangle configuration, T, is *characteristic* for G, if G maps each point or line of T to itself, and T is maximal subject to the partial order. There are degenerate triangle configurations which are not characteristic for any group in $\mathcal{Q}$. They are shown in figure 2: TN_2' and TN_3'.

Proof. of Theorem 2: The notation has been chosen so that for every $G \in \mathcal{Q}$, it is easy to check $\theta(G) = TG \in \mathcal{TQ}$ is a characteristic degenerate triangle configuration for G. That is, G preserves every point or line of $\theta(G)$, and $\theta(G)$ is maximal in the partial order. □ □

Given a group $G \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$, a *characteristic triangle class*, $[T]$ for G, is an equivalence class of degenerate triangle configurations such that each $S \in [T]$ is characteristic for a group conjugate to G. Figure 1 shows the set $\mathcal{TQ}$, which consists of one representative of each characteristic triangle class. By theorem 1, $\mathcal{Q}$ contains one representative of each conjugacy class of conjugacy limit group of C. Therefore, θ induces a bijection from conjugacy classes of conjugacy limit groups of C to characteristic triangle classes. This map is well defined: If G_1 and G_2 are conjugacy limits of C, and T_1, T_2 are their respective characteristic degenerate triangle configurations, and $P \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$, then $PG_1P^{-1} = G_2$ if and only if $PT_1 = T_2$.

Given a group $G \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$, the *maximal configuration* preserved by G is the set whose elements are the points fixed by G and the lines preserved by G. It might be infinite. For example, the maximal configuration for N_2 consists of every line through a point, and the maximal configuration for N_3 is every point on a line.

In configuration TN_3, three points on the line are fixed, and any group which acts on $\mathbb{R}P^2$ and fixes three points on a projective line must fix every point on the line. In configuration TN_2, three lines in the link of the vertex are preserved, and similarly, every line in the link is preserved. In this way, each configuration

in figure 1 determines a maximal configuration. These ideas will be investigated further with the hyperreal viewpoint.

3 The Digraph of Conjugacy Limit Groups: Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove theorem 1. Part 1 follows easily from work of Haettel [8], or the classification over $\mathbb{C}$ of Suprenko and Tyshkevitch, [13], p.134. Next we prove part 3: every element of $\mathcal{Q}$ is a conjugacy limit of C. If each element of the sequence of conjugating matrices lies in a one parameter subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, then G is a conjugacy limit *under a 1-parameter path of conjugacies.*

Proposition 5. *Each conjugacy limit group is a limit under a 1-parameter path of conjugacies.*

Proof. Each conjugacy limit group is a limit under the 1 parameter path of conjugacies (as $n \to \infty$):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} C \to F & C \to N_1 & C \to N_2 & C \to N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & \frac{n^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{array}$$

□ □

To finish the proof of theorem 1. We must show:
(1) there is a 1-parameter path of conjugacies for every arrow in the digraph, and
(2) no other arrows are possible.
The path $C \to F$ is given in proposition 5. For the rest of the arrows, use the paths:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \to N_1 & N_1 \to N_2 & N_1 \to N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & \frac{n^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{array}$$

as $n \to \infty$. This shows every directed path in Γ is realized by a 1-parameter limit. To show these are all possible arrows, we use:

Proposition 6. *[[6], proposition 3.2] If $A, B \leq G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and A is a conjugacy limit of B, then the dimension of the normalizer must increase:* $\dim N_G(A) \leq \dim N_G(B)$*, with equality if and only if A and B are conjugate.*

As a corollary of proposition 6, we see if we have both conjugacy limits $A \to B$ and $B \to A$, then A and B are conjugate. Computing the dimension of the normalizer shows there are no arrows going backwards in the digraph: $\dim N_G(C) = 2, \dim N_G(F) = 3, \dim N_G(N_1) = 4$, and $\dim N_G(N_2) = \dim N_G(N_3) = 5$, where $G = SL_3(\mathbb{R})$.

It remains to show neither N_2 or N_3 is a limit of the other. Since $\dim N_G(N_2) = \dim N_G(N_3)$, if $N_2 \to N_3$, then proposition 6 would imply N_2 and N_3 are conjugate, which is false. Therefore, neither N_2 or N_3 can limit to the other. This finishes the proof of theorem 1. □

Remark 7. *Notice N_3 fixes every point on a line, and N_2 preserves every line through a fixed point. The maximal configurations for N_2 and N_3 are dual. Moreover, duality induces an automorphism of the digraph of conjugacy limits.*

Suppose $H, L \leq SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are not conjugate, and $H \to L$. We say the action from H to L is *decompressed* if there is an orbit closure of H which is a union of infinitely many orbit closures of L. In the conjugacy limit $N_1 \to N_2$, the action on the link of the fixed point is decompressed, so every line through the fixed point is preserved. This is the maximal configuration for N_2: a single point is fixed and every line through

the point is preserved. In the conjugacy limit $N_1 \to N_3$, the action on the preserved line is decompressed, so every point on the line is fixed. This is the maximal configuration for N_3: a line with every point on the line fixed.

We concluded this section with a final property satisfied by real conjugacy limits, which we will use in corollary 25.

Lemma 8. *Suppose H is a subgroup of $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$, and P_n is a sequence of conjugating matrices such that $P_n H P_n^{-1}$ has conjugacy limit $L \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$. There is a sequence of upper triangular matrices, P'_n such that $P'_n H {P'_n}^{-1}$ converges to a conjugate of L.*

Proof. Recall the Iwasawa decomposition of a matrix, $P = KNA$, where K is orthogonal, N is unipotent, and A is diagonal. Writing each P_n in this way, we have $P_n H P_n^{-1} = K_n(N_n A_n H A_n^{-1} N_n^{-1})K_n^{-1}$. The orthogonal group is compact, so every sequence has a convergent subsequence, and in particular, every sequence $K_n H' K_n^{-1}$ converges to a conjugate of H'. Thus we may assume $P_n = N_n A_n$, so P_n is upper triangular. □ □

4 The Hyperreals, ${}^*\mathbb{R}$

The second part of the paper is devoted to proving theorem 3. For a good introduction to the hyperreals, see [7]. The hyperreal numbers, ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, are a non-Archimedian field, with $\mathbb{R} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}$.

Fix $\mathcal{F}$, a non principal ultra filter on $\mathbb{N}$. Let $\alpha = (a_n)$ and $\beta = (b_n)$ be sequences of real numbers. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on sequences of real numbers by $(a_n) \sim (b_n)$ if $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : b_n = a_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$. A hyperreal number is an equivalence class $[(\alpha_n)]$. There is a natural inclusion $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$ which sends a real number to a constant sequence. Hyperreal addition and multiplication are defined component-wise. The hyperreals are ordered by $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \leq b_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$. The absolute value is defined in any ordered field.

Definition 9.

1. *A hyperreal number α is* infinite *if $|\alpha| > n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A hyperreal number α is* infinitesimal *if $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If α not infinite, α is* finite*. Denote the set of finite hyperreals by $\mathbb{F}$.*

2. *Let $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be a finite hyperreal. The* standard part *or* shadow *of α is $sh(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha - sh(\alpha)$ is infinitesimal. Note that $sh : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a ring homomorphism.*

3. *A hyperreal $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is* appreciable *if α is neither infinitesimal or infinite, i.e., α is a non-zero real number plus an infinitesimal.*

4. *The* galaxy *of $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is $Gal(\alpha) = \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : \alpha - x \in \mathbb{F}\}$.*

5. *The ε-*galaxy *of α in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ is $Gal_\varepsilon(\alpha) = \{x \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : \alpha - x \in \varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{F}\}$.*

Note a finite hyperreal may be either appreciable or infinitesimal. Clearly Gal and Gal_ε define equivalence relations on ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. We denote hyperreal objects in script $\mathscr{G}$ or $\mathscr{L}$, and denote their standardizations G or L. We use the usual inner product, $\langle x, y\rangle = x \cdot y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ or ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 10.

1. *A* projective basis *for $\mathbb{R}P^n$ (or ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$) consists of $n+2$ equivalence classes of vectors, such that any $n+1$ vectors form a basis for the underlying vector space. The word basis means either vector space basis or projective basis, depending on the context.*

2. *The* usual basis *for ${}^*\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (or $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$) is $\{e_0, e_1, ..., e_n\}$. The* usual basis *for ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ (or $\mathbb{R}P^n$) is $\{[e_0], ..., [e_n], [e_0 + e_1 + \cdots + e_n]\}$.*

3. *The* shadow *map is $sh : {}^*\mathbb{R}P^n \to \mathbb{R}P^n$ where $[v] \mapsto [sh(\frac{v}{||v||})]$, and we take the shadows of the coordinates. The* shadow *of a basis $\mathscr{B} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ is $sh(\mathscr{B}) = \{[sh(v)] | v \in \mathscr{B}\} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$.*

4. *A hyperreal projective basis $\mathscr{B}$ is* appreciable *if $sh(\mathscr{B})$ is a projective basis for $\mathbb{R}P^n$. A hyperreal projective transformation is* appreciable *if the image of some appreciable basis is an appreciable basis.*

Notice the shadow of a hyperreal basis may not be a real basis, since shadows of basis elements could be the same! A hyperreal transformation $[A] \in PGL_n({}^*\mathbb{R})$ is finite if and only if there exists $[B] \in PGL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that $B - \lambda A$ is infinitesimal. Every finite hyperreal projective transformation differs from a real projective transformation infinitesimally.

Definition 11. *Given $\mathscr{G} \leq SL_n({}^*\mathbb{R})$, the* finite part*, $Fin(\mathscr{G})$, is the subset of all elements that have finite entries. The* subset of infinitesimal elements*, $\mathscr{I}$, is the set of matrices that are the identity matrix plus a matrix with infinitesimal entries.*

Lemma 12. *$Fin(\mathscr{G})$ and $\mathscr{I}$ are subgroups of $\mathscr{G}$.*

Proof. Sums and products of finite hyperreals are finite, so $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ is closed under multiplication. Let $A \in \mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G}) \leq SL_n({}^*\mathbb{R})$. Since sums and products of finite hyperreal numbers are finite, $\mathrm{Adj}A$ is finite. Since $\det A = 1$, then $A^{-1} = \frac{\mathrm{Adj}A}{\det A} \in \mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$. Thus $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ is a group. Similarly, $\mathscr{I}$ is a group. □ □

Definition 13. *Given $\mathscr{G} \leq SL_n({}^*\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathscr{A} \in Fin(\mathscr{G})$, the* shadow*, $sh(\mathscr{A})$, of $\mathscr{A}$, has entries that are the shadows of entries of $\mathscr{A}$. The* standard part *or* shadow *of $\mathscr{G}$ is $sh(\mathscr{G}) := \{sh(\mathscr{A}) | \mathscr{A} \in Fin(\mathscr{G})\}$.*

Lemma 14. *$sh(Fin(\mathscr{G})) \cong Fin(\mathscr{G})/(\mathscr{I} \cap Fin(\mathscr{G}))$.*

Proof. Note $sh : \mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G}) \to sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G}))$ is a homomorphism since the map is defined by taking the shadow of each entry, and $sh : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a ring homomorphism. The kernel is $\mathscr{I} \cap \mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$. The map sh is surjective since $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$. Apply the first isomorphism theorem. □ □

Instead of writing this discussion in terms of matrices, we could have considered nonstandard projective transformations in the context of *appreciable* (vector space or projective) bases. It is easy to show a hyperreal projective transformation is appreciable if and only if the image of every appreciable basis is an appreciable basis.

A *nonstandard triangle configuration* consists of three lines in general position in ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$, which intersect in three distinct points. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a matrix of hyperreals, and $\mathscr{T}$ be the nonstandard triangle configuration which is the image of a projective triangle configuration under $\mathscr{P}$. Let $\mathscr{C} \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$ be the group of positive diagonal (hyperreal) matrices. A conjugate of $\mathscr{C}$ by $\mathscr{P}$ is uniquely determined as the stabilizer of the vertices of $\mathscr{T}$.

Theorem 15. *Suppose $P_n \in GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ and $P_n C P_n^{-1}$ converges to L. Define $\mathscr{P} := [P_n] \in GL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathscr{G} := \mathscr{P}\mathscr{C}\mathscr{P}^{-1}$. Then*

1. *$sh(Fin(\mathscr{G})) = L$*
2. *$\mathscr{G}$ is the conjugate of $\mathscr{C}$ that preserves the points $\mathscr{P}([e_1], [e_2], [e_3]) \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$.*
3. *the nonstandard triangle configuration $\mathscr{T}$ with vertices $\mathscr{P}([e_1], [e_2], [e_3])$ has shadow $T = sh(\mathscr{T})$ a degenerate triangle, and L preserves T.*

Proof. (1) Conjugating $\mathscr{C}$ by $\mathscr{P}$, gives $({}^*\mathbb{R})^2 \cong \mathscr{G} \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$. For dimension reasons, (see [6] Proposition 3.1), $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Every subgroup of $SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a conjugacy limit of C, so $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G}))$ is a conjugacy limit of C. By assumption, $[P_n] = \mathscr{P}$, therefore $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})) = L$.
(2) Since $\mathscr{C}$ preserves $([e_1], [e_2], [e_3])$, then $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{P}\mathscr{C}\mathscr{P}^{-1}$ preserves $\mathscr{P}([e_1], [e_2], [e_3])$.
(3) This follows from proposition 4. □ □

5 Conjugacy Limits of the Diagonal Cartan subgroup in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

In this section, we show how to view a limit of the diagonal group in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ as the shadow of the finite part of a conjugate of the diagonal group in $SL_2({}^*\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 16. *The Cartan subgroup* $\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{smallmatrix}\right) | a > 0\} \leq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$*, has two conjugacy limits: the Cartan subgroup and the parabolic group* $\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$*. The Cartan subgroup preserves the maximal configuration consisting of two fixed points on a projective line, and the parabolic group preserves the maximal configuration consisting of a projective line with one fixed point.*

Proof. There are three conjugacy classes of 1-parameter subgroups in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$: elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic. (See [3].) Elliptic subgroups are isomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$, so any subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ must be hyperbolic or parabolic.

Conjugate by the sequence of projective transformations as $n \to \infty$:

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & n(a-\frac{1}{a}) \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \to \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Since we want the conjugacy limit to be finite, i.e., we want $n(a - \frac{1}{a})$ to converge to some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we need $a \to 1$. Since $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary, the limit is a group where t is any real number.

The diagonal Cartan subgroup preserves the maximal configuration of 2 fixed points an appreciable distance apart on a projective line. Applying this sequence of projective transformations identifies the points in the limit, so that the limit configuration consists of one point on a projective line, which is the maximal configuration preserved by the parabolic group. □ □

Conjugate the Cartan subgroup (given in the usual basis $\{[1:0],[0:1]\}$), by a hyperreal transformation to change to the basis $\{[1:0],[1:\delta]\}$. Define

$$\mathscr{G}(\delta) := \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \frac{1}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a}) \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\}.$$

Set $G(\delta) = sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G}(\delta))) \leq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 17. *If δ is appreciable, then $G(\delta)$ is hyperbolic. If δ is infinitesimal, then $G(\delta)$ is parabolic.*

Proof. If δ is infinitesimal, the finite part of $\mathscr{G}(\delta)$ has a is infinitesimally close to 1, so that the upper right entry is finite. Then $sh(a) = 1$, so $G(\delta)$ is conjugate to the parabolic group, and $G(\delta)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}P^1$ with one fixed point.

If δ is appreciable, then $G(\delta)$ is conjugate to a group of hyperbolic projective transformation by a real matrix, and $G(\delta)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}P^1$ with two fixed points. □ □

6 Non-Standard Triangles: Proof of Theorem 3

So far, we have shown every conjugacy limit group of C is conjugate to an element of $\mathcal{Q}$, and determined by a characteristic triangle class in $\mathcal{TQ}$ (theorem 2). In this section, we establish the bijection between conjugacy limit groups and *equivalence classes of nonstandard triangles*, given as a partition in the table in theorem 3. Before proving the table gives a partition, we define α.

A nonstandard triangle may be built from a nonstandard 1-simplex as follows. Consider ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ with the positive scalar curvature metric inherited from the sphere. This metric is not preserved by projective transformations. Three non collinear points, $p, q, x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$, determine a nonstandard triangle, $\Delta(p, q, x)$. We may assume they satisfy the following labeling conditions. The length of the shortest altitude is measured from the point x. Let $\mathscr{H} \cong {}^*\mathbb{R}P^1$ be the line containing p and q, and $y \in \mathscr{H}$ be the foot of the altitude measured from x. Assume $d(y, p) \leq d(y, q)$, and without loss of generality, assume p, q, x, y have coordinates $p = [1:0], q = [1:\delta], y = [1:\varepsilon] \in \mathscr{H}$ and $x = [1:\varepsilon:\eta]$. The shortest altitude is measured from x, so $0 \leq |\eta| \leq |\delta|$ and $0 \leq |\varepsilon| \leq |\delta|$. In the remainder of this section, we denote by $\mathscr{G} := \mathscr{G}(\delta) \leq SL_2({}^*\mathbb{R})$ the group preserving p and q, and by $\hat{\mathscr{G}} := \hat{\mathscr{G}}(p, q, x) \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$ the group preserving p, q and x. Set $G = sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G}))$ and $\hat{G} = sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\hat{\mathscr{G}}))$



Figure 3: Adding a point to a 1-simplex

Lemma 18. *The table in theorem 3 is a partition on the set of nonstandard triangles, where* $\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$.

Proof. We show that every nonstandard triangle is in exactly one row of the table. Consider the first two columns of the table: the number of infinitesimal sides and angles. A nonstandard triangle has $0, 1$ or 2 infinitesimal angles, and $0, 1$ or 3 infinitesimal sides. If a nonstandard triangle has exactly one infinitesimal side (angle), then it must have at least one infinitesimal angle (side). It is straightforward to see all 7 possibilities for a nonstandard triangle with 0,1 or 2 infinitesimal angles, and 0,1, or 3 infinitesimal sides are listed in the table. Since $|\delta| \geq |\eta|$, if the number of infinitesimal angles and sides is not 2 and 3 respectively, it is easy to check the order of $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is determined by the number of infinitesimal sides and angles, these details appear as part of proposition 23.

It remains to show that there is no overlap in the rows. Examining the first two columns, we see the only repeat is a nonstandard triangle with 3 infinitesimal sides and 2 infinitesimal angles, which appears three times. The row for a nonstandard triangle with 2 infinitesimal angles and three infinitesimal sides is determined by whether $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is finite, infinitesimal, or infinite. □ □

Recall a projective transformation is appreciable if it maps an appreciable basis to an appreciable basis. Let $\mathscr{X} \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ be a subspace, and extend the usual basis of $\mathscr{X}$ to the usual basis of ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$. We say a group $\mathscr{F}$ *acts finitely* on $\mathscr{X}$, if $f|_{\mathscr{X}}$ is a finite transformation, for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$.

Let $[v] \in {}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$. The *link* of $[v]$ is $\mathscr{L}(v) \cong {}^*\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$, the set of all lines through $[v]$. Given a projective basis $\{[e_0], [e_1], ..., [e_{n+1}]\}$ for ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ with $[e_0] = [v]$, a projective basis for $\mathscr{L}(v) \cong {}^*\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ consists of lines $\{\langle [v], [e_i]\rangle | 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$. Recall a basis, $\mathscr{B}$, for $\mathscr{L}(v)$ is appreciable if $sh(\mathscr{B})$ is a basis for $L(v) := sh(\mathscr{L}(v))$. In ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ a basis for $\mathscr{L}(v)$ is appreciable if and only if the angles between the projective lines in the basis for $\mathscr{L}(v)$ are appreciable.

Let $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{G}(\delta) \leq SL_2({}^*\mathbb{R})$ act on $\mathscr{H}$, and let $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2 - \mathscr{H}$ as in figure 6. The action of $\mathscr{G}$ on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is defined as follows. In projective space, every pair of lines intersect in a point, so every line in $\mathscr{L}(x)$ intersects $\mathscr{H}$ in a point, z. Thus $\mathscr{L}(x) = \{\langle x, z\rangle : z \in \mathscr{H}\}$, and the action of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is given as $\langle x, z\rangle \mapsto \langle x, g(z)\rangle$, for $g \in \mathscr{G}$. We will show the conjugacy limit group that preserves the shadow of the nonstandard triangle is controlled by the action on the link of the new point, $\mathscr{L}(x)$.

Recall $\mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x) = \{r \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : x - r \in \eta \cdot \mathbb{F}\}$ from definition 9.

Lemma 19. *Fin($\mathscr{G}$) preserves Gal$_\eta(y)$ if and only if Fin($\mathscr{G}$) acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$.*

Proof. Let $\{v_1 = [1 : \varepsilon + \eta], v_2 = [1 : \varepsilon - \eta], v_3 = [1 : \varepsilon]\}$ be a basis for $\mathscr{H}$, and set $\mathscr{B} = \{\langle x, v_i\rangle : i = 1, 2, 3\}$, a basis for $\mathscr{L}(x)$. The basis $\mathscr{B}$ is appreciable since the lines $\langle x, v_1\rangle$ and $\langle x, v_3\rangle$ form a $45°$ isosceles triangle with $\mathscr{H}$, and $\langle x, v_2\rangle$ is perpendicular to $\mathscr{H}$. The distance a point $z \in \mathscr{H}$ is moved by $g \in \mathscr{G}$ is $|z - g.z|$. The distance a point is moved in $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is $\angle(\langle x, z\rangle, \langle x, (g.z)\rangle) \approx \frac{|z-g.z|}{\eta}$.

So $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ acts finitely on $\mathscr{B}$, the basis for $\mathscr{L}(x)$. But $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ acts finitely on $\mathscr{B}$ if and only if $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ keeps the angles between the lines in $\mathscr{B}$ appreciable, i.e. if $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ moves the points $v_i \in \mathscr{H}$ a distance of at most order η. Thus $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ preserves $\mathrm{Gal}_\eta(y)$. □ □

Two nonzero hyperreals $\alpha, \beta \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ have the same *order* if and only if $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is appreciable. We denote this $\alpha \approx \beta$.

Lemma 20. *Fin($\mathscr{G}$) moves a point in $Gal_\eta(y)$ a distance of at most order $\varepsilon\delta$.*

Proof. Recall

$$\mathscr{G}(\delta) = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & \delta\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{a}\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & \delta\end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & \frac{1}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a})\\ 0 & \frac{1}{a}\end{smallmatrix}\right)\}.$$

In Fin($\mathscr{G}$), we have $\frac{1}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a}) = 2t$, a finite hyperreal. The action on $y = [1:\varepsilon]$ depends on $\eta, \delta, \varepsilon$, and we want to find the subgroup of Fin($\mathscr{G}$) that preserves $\mathrm{Gal}_\eta(y)$. We have:

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & \frac{1}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a})\\ 0 & \frac{1}{a}\end{smallmatrix}\right)[1:\varepsilon] = [a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a}) : \frac{\varepsilon}{a}] = [1 : \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{a}}{a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a})}].$$

We want to find the distance y is moved. Using $\frac{1}{a} - a = 2t\delta$ we get

$$\left|\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{a}}{a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}(a-\frac{1}{a})} - \varepsilon\right| = \varepsilon\left|\frac{\frac{1}{a} - a + 2t\varepsilon}{a + 2t\varepsilon}\right| = 2t\varepsilon\left|\frac{\delta+\varepsilon}{a+2t\varepsilon}\right| \approx 2t\varepsilon|\delta+\varepsilon|$$

since $a \approx 1$ in Fin($\mathscr{G}$). Since $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta$ then $\varepsilon\delta \leq \varepsilon(\delta+\varepsilon) \leq 2\varepsilon\delta$. Therefore

$$2t\varepsilon|\delta+\varepsilon| \approx \varepsilon(\delta+\varepsilon) \approx \varepsilon\delta,$$

and y is moved a distance of order $\varepsilon\delta$. □ □

Corollary 21. *Fin($\mathscr{G}$) acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ if and only if $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is finite. Moreover, the action of Fin($\mathscr{G}$) on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is infinite if $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinite, and sh(Fin($\mathscr{G}$)) acts as the identity on $L(x)$ if $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinitesimal.*

Proof. By lemma 20, the distance $y \in \mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x)$ is moved in $\mathscr{H}$ is of order $\varepsilon\delta$, and so by the proof of lemma 19, Fin($\mathscr{G}$) moves points in $\mathscr{L}(x)$ a distance of order $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$. The result follows. □ □



(a) Choosing $\mathscr{B}$

(b) The action on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ extended to ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$. See the image of $\mathscr{B}$ under $\hat{\mathscr{G}}$.

Figure 4: Extending $\mathscr{G}$ to $\hat{\mathscr{G}}$

We have shown the ratio $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ determines the action of Fin($\mathscr{G}$) on $\mathscr{L}(x)$. Next we show how to extend $\mathscr{G} \leq SL_2({}^*\mathbb{R})$ to $\hat{\mathscr{G}} \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 22. *Let $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{G}(\delta) \leq SL_2({}^*\mathbb{R})$ act on $\mathscr{H}$. If Fin($\mathscr{G}$) acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$, define $\hat{\mathscr{G}} \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$ to be the set of elements which fix x, act finitely on ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$, and for every $\hat{g} \in \hat{\mathscr{G}}$, the restriction $\hat{g}|_{\mathscr{H}} = g$ for some $g \in \mathscr{G}$. Then $\hat{G} := sh(Fin(\hat{\mathscr{G}}))$ is a conjugacy limit group, and the action of $\hat{G}$ on H coincides with the action of G on H.*

Proof. We pick a projective basis $\mathscr{B} = \{[e_1], [e_2], [e_3], [e_1 + e_2 + e_3]\}$ for ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$, and show the image of $\mathscr{B}$ under $\hat{\mathscr{G}}$ is an appreciable basis. By assumption, $\mathscr{G}$ fixes $[e_1] \in \mathscr{H}$. Let $[y] \in \mathscr{H}$ be the point closest to $[x]$. Choose $[e_3] \in \langle [x], [y] \rangle$ an appreciable distance from $[e_1]$. Then the lines $\langle [x], [e_1] \rangle$ and $\langle [x], [e_3] \rangle = \langle [x], [y] \rangle$ are at an appreciable angle, since $[x]$ is infinitesimally close to $\mathscr{H}$. Pick $[e_2] \in \mathscr{H}$ an appreciable distance from $[e_1]$ and $[e_3]$, and such that $\langle [x], [e_2] \rangle$ and $\langle [x], [e_3] \rangle$ are at an appreciable angle. Again, $\langle [x], [e_2] \rangle$ and $\mathscr{H}$ are at an appreciable angle, since $[x]$ is infinitesimally close to $\mathscr{H}$.

If $g \in \mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$, then $g([e_2])$ is an appreciable distance from $g([e_1]) = [e_1]$. Let $\hat{g} \in \hat{\mathscr{G}}$, so that $\hat{g}|_{\mathscr{H}} = g$. Since $\hat{g}([e_3])$ lies on the line $\langle [x], g([y]) \rangle$, there is a 1-parameter hyperreal family of choice of image of $[e_3]$. Choose $\hat{g}([e_3])$ to be an appreciable distance from $\hat{g}([e_1]) = [e_1]$. The action of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is finite, so $\langle [x], [\hat{g}(e_i)] \rangle$ and $\langle [x], \hat{g}([e_j]) \rangle$ are an appreciable distance apart, for all $i \neq j$. Thus the image of an appreciable basis is an appreciable basis, and $\{p, q, x\}$ is the image of the usual basis under a nonstandard projective transformation. By theorem 15, $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\hat{\mathscr{G}}))$ is a conjugacy limit group, and by construction $\hat{\mathscr{G}}|_{\mathscr{H}}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathscr{G}$. □ □

By theorem 1 there are 5 conjugacy classes of conjugacy limit groups, and by theorem 15 every conjugacy limit group of C is $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{P}\mathscr{C}\mathscr{P}^{-1}))$. We show next that the conjugacy class of any conjugacy limit group is completely determined by the hyperreal numbers δ, η and $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$. Recall $0 \leq |\eta| \leq |\delta|$, and $0 \leq |\varepsilon| \leq |\delta|$.

Proposition 23. *Given $p, q, x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}P^2$ in general position, let $\hat{\mathscr{G}}(p, q, x) \leq SL_3({}^*\mathbb{R})$ be the group preserving p, q, x. Set $\hat{G} = sh(\hat{\mathscr{G}}(p, q, x))$.*

1. *If δ is appreciable, and*
   - *(a) η is appreciable, then $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to C and $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is appreciable*
   - *(b) η is infinitesimal, and $p \in Gal_\eta(x)$, then $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to F and $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is finite*
   - *(c) η infinitesimal, but $p \notin Gal_\eta(x)$, then $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_3 and $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinite*
2. *If δ is infinitesimal, then η is infinitesimal, and*
   - *(a) if $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinitesimal, then $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_2.*
   - *(b) if $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is appreciable, then $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_1.*
   - *(c) if $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinite, then $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_3.*

Proof. **Case 1a:** The points are an appreciable distance apart, and each point is an appreciable distance from the hyperplane containing the other two points. Since η is appreciable, $\mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x) \cap \mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}$, so the action of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ preserves $\mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x)$, and $\mathscr{G}$ acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$. The action of $\hat{G}$ on each of the three projective lines is hyperbolic. Thus $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to C, since this is the only group in $\mathcal{Q}$ which acts hyperbolically on more than one line.

Since δ, ε and η are all appreciable, then $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is appreciable.

Case 1b: Suppose $p \in \mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x)$. Then p and x are infinitesimally close, and q is an appreciable distance away from $\langle p, x \rangle$. So, $sh(\Delta(p, q, x))$ has two distinct points, $sh(p) = sh(x)$ and $sh(q)$, and two distinct lines, $sh(\langle p, q \rangle) = sh(\langle x, q \rangle)$ and $sh(\langle p, x \rangle)$. The action of $\hat{G}$ on $sh(\langle p, x \rangle)$ is parabolic, since $sh(\langle p, x \rangle)$ contains a unique fixed point. The action of $\hat{G}$ on $H = sh(\langle p, q \rangle)$ is hyperbolic, since H contains two distinct fixed points. Thus $\hat{G}$ has two 0 dimensional invariant subspaces, and two 1 dimensional invariant subspaces, one with a parabolic action, and one with a hyperbolic action. So, $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to F.

Since δ is appreciable, $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta} \approx \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$. Since $p \in \mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x)$, then $|\varepsilon| \leq |\eta|$, which implies $\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$ is finite.

Case 1c: Since δ is appreciable, $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta} \approx \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$. Since η is infinitesimal, and $\mathrm{Gal}_\eta(x)$ does not contain p, then $|\varepsilon|$ has larger order than $|\eta|$, which implies $\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$ is infinite.

Since $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinite, then the action of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is infinite by corollary 21. The subgroup of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ which acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is infinitesimal, and its shadow in G is the identity. Thus $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_3, since this is the only group in $\mathcal{Q}$ which acts as the identity on a line.

Case 2a: By assumption δ and η are infinitesimal, so the points p, q, x are infinitesimally close. Therefore $sh(\Delta(p,q,x))$ has one point, $sh(p) = sh(q) = sh(x)$, which is the fixed point under the action of $\hat{G}$. By lemma 24 which follows this proof, $\hat{G}$ is unipotent, and so $\hat{G}$ acts parabolically on any line it preserves through $sh(p)$. Since $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinitesimal, $\hat{G}$ acts as the identity on $L(x)$ by corollary 21, and $\hat{G}$ preserves at least two 1 dimensional invariant subspaces. There are two groups in $\mathcal{Q}$ with a single fixed point, N_1 and N_2. But, N_1 preserves only one line, and $\hat{G}$ preserves at least two lines, so $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_2.

Case 2b: Since $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is appreciable, the action of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is finite by corollary 21, and G does not fix $L(x)$ point wise. By lemma 22, the action of $\hat{G}$ on H coincides with the nontrivial action of G on H. Since δ and η are infinitesimal, the points p, q, x are infinitesimally close, so $sh(\Delta(p,q,x))$ has one point. By lemma 24, $\hat{G}$ acts parabolically on H, since $\hat{G}$ fixes a single point. Since $\hat{G}$ does not fix $L(x)$, then $\hat{G}$ has only one 1 dimensional invariant subspace and one 0 dimensional invariant subspace, so $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_1.

Case 2c: Since $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$ is infinite, then $\mathscr{G}$ acts infinitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ by corollary 21. The subgroup of $\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{G})$ which acts finitely on $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is infinitesimal, and its shadow in G is the identity. Thus $\hat{G}$ is conjugate to N_3, since this is the only group in $\mathcal{Q}$ which acts as the identity on a line. □

□

Recall from lemma 8 that every conjugacy limit group is conjugate to one under a sequence of upper triangular sequence of matrices, and by theorem 15 that any conjugacy limit of $C \leq SL_3(\mathbb{R})$ is $\hat{G} := \mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{P}\mathscr{C}\mathscr{P}^{-1}))$, where

$$\mathscr{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \delta & \varepsilon \\ 0 & 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 24. *If δ is infinitesimal, then $\hat{G} = sh(Fin(\hat{\mathscr{G}}(p,q,x)))$ is conjugate to a unipotent group.*

Proof. Since δ is infinitesimal, and $|\delta| \geq |\eta|$ and $|\delta| \geq |\varepsilon|$, then η and ε are infinitesimal. It is easy to check that all of the weights must be 1 in $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\mathscr{P}\mathscr{C}\mathscr{P}^{-1}))$. □

This concludes the proof of theorem 3, by establishing a bijection between equivalence classes of nonstandard triangles and conjugacy limit groups. We reproduce a table here for completeness. The ratio $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta} = \alpha$ in theorem 3.

δ	η	$\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$	Group
appreciable	appreciable	appreciable	C
appreciable	infinitesimal	finite	F
infinitesimal	infinitesimal	appreciable	N_1
infinitesimal	infinitesimal	infinitesimal	N_2
finite	infinitesimal	infinite	N_3

The shadow of $\mathscr{T}$ does not depend on $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$, but $sh(\mathrm{Fin}(\hat{\mathscr{G}}(p,q,x))$ does depend on $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$.

Corollary 25. *The columns of $\mathscr{P}$ in (1) are the coordinates of the vertices of a nonstandard triangle. The equivalence class of nonstandard triangle determines the conjugacy limit group $\hat{G}$, the conjugacy limit of C under the sequence $P_n \leq GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ with sequences in the strictly upper triangular portion, $\delta_n, \epsilon_n, \eta_n$, converging to $\delta, \varepsilon, \eta$. By proposition 23, $\hat{G}$ depends only on the relative orders of δ, η, and $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{\eta}$.*

7 Higher Dimensions

Haettel shows in $SL_4(\mathbb{R})$ that the space of 3 dimensional abelian groups is equal to the space of conjugacy limits of the diagonal Cartan subgroup. Are these two spaces the same in higher dimensions? Haettel has shown they are the same for $n = 3, 4$. For $n = 5, 6$, the author gives examples in [12] of $n-1$ dimensional abelian subgroups of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ which are not conjugacy limits of the diagonal Cartan subgroup. When $n \geq 7$, Haettel, and Iliev and Manivel show by dimension count that there are $n-1$ dimensional abelian subgroups of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ which are not conjugacy limits of the Cartan subgroup. In fact, when $n \geq 7$, the author shows in [12] that there are infinitely many conjugacy classes of conjugacy limits of the diagonal Cartan subgroup.

Conjugacy limits of the diagonal Cartan subgroup may be studied in higher dimensions using nonstandard simplices. Again, we build a nonstandard n-simplex, $\mathscr{T}_n$ by adding a point, x, to a nonstandard $(n-1)$-simplex, $\mathscr{T}_{n-1}$. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the group that preserves $\mathscr{T}_{n-1}$. The group, $\hat{\mathscr{G}}$, that preserves $sh(\mathscr{T}_n)$ is the standardization of the finite part of the extension group, analogous to lemma 22. Let η be the distance in ${}^*\mathbb{R}P^n$ from x to $\mathscr{T}_{n-1}$, and $\mathscr{H}$ be the highest dimensional cell of $\mathscr{T}_{n-1}$ such that $\mathrm{Gal}_n(x) \cap \mathscr{H} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\hat{\mathscr{G}}$ is an extension of the subgroup of $\mathscr{G} \curvearrowright \mathscr{H}$ that acts finitely $\mathscr{L}(x)$.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks *Daryl Cooper* for many insightful conversations, patience, and for suggesting the use of the hyperreals. The author thanks *Darren Long*, *David Dumas*, and *Jeff Danciger* for many helpful discussions. The referee also provided some excellent ideas for restructuring the paper, and improving the clarity of some of the arguments.

The author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS–0706887, 1207068 and 1045292. The author spent fall 2013 at ICERM, and had many illuminating discussions with the other visiting academics there. The author acknowledges support from U.S. National Science Foundation grants DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 *RNMS: GEometric structures And Representation varieties* (the GEAR Network).

References

[1] Bridson, M., de la Harpe, P., and Kleptsyn, V. *The Chabauty space of closed subgroups of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group.* Pacific J. Math., 240(1):1-48, 2009.

[2] Burde, D. *Contractions of Lie algebras and algebraic groups.* Arch. Math. (Brno), 43(5):321-332, 2007.

[3] Carter, R., Segal, G., and MacDonald, I. *Lectures on Lie Groups and Lie Algebras.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[4] Chabauty, C. *Limite d'Ensembles et Géométrie des Nombres.* Bull. Soc. Math. France, 78:143-151, 1950.

[5] Choi, S., and Goldman, W. *The Classification of Real Projective Structures on Surfaces*, Bulletin of the American Math Society 34 (1997), 161-171.

[6] Cooper, D., Danciger, J., and Weinhard, A. *Limits of Geometries* arXiv:1408:4109 submitted.

[7] Goldblatt, R. *Lectures on the Hyperreals: An Introduction to Non-standard Analysis.* New York: Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[8] Haettel, T. *Compactification de Chabauty de l'Espace des Sous-groupes de Cartan de* $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Mathematische Zeitschrift, Springer-Verlag, 2012.

[9] de la Harpe, P. *Spaces of Closed Subgroups of Locally Compact Groups.* Arxiv 0807.2030v2 submitted 2008.

[10] Humphreys, J.E. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory.* New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[11] Inönü, E., and and Wigner, E. *On the Contraction of Groups and their Representations.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 39:510-524, 1953.

[12] Leitner, A. *Limits Under Conjugacy of the Diagonal Subgroup in* $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Submitted Arxiv: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.5523.pdf

[13] Suprenko, D., Tyshkevitch, R. *Commutative Matrices.* Academic Press, New York, NY, 1968.

[14] Thurston, W. *Three-dimensional Geometry and Topology*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.

[15] Winternitz, P. and Zassenhaus, H. *The Structure of Maximal Abelian Subalgebras of Classical Lie and Jordan Algebras* XIIIth international colloquium on group theoretical methods in physics (College Park, MD., 1984), World Sci, Publishing, 1984.